

# COOPERATIVE SCRUTINY BOARD

Recommendations



Date/min number	Resolution / Recommendation	Response
<b>AMBITIOUS PLYMOUTH</b>		
01.07.2013 Minute 3	<p><b>APPOINTMENT OF CO-OPTED REPRESENTATIVES</b></p> <p><u>Agreed</u> to recommend to the Cooperative Scrutiny Board that –</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) the Democratic Support Officer contact the relevant organisations in an attempt to obtain nominations to fill the vacant statutory co-opted representative positions for faith representatives and parent governors to be co-opted onto the Ambitious Plymouth panel;</li> <li>(2) the Democratic Support Officer approach the Lead Officer of the Standing Advisory Council for Religious Education (SACRE) to ask for nominations from SACRE members to become co-opted representatives onto the Ambitious Plymouth panel;</li> <li>(3) Mrs Edith Bayley, Parent Governor co-opted representative on the Children and Young People’s Overview and Scrutiny Panel, is approached to take up a position as a Parent Governor co-opted representative on the Ambitious Plymouth Panel for the next 12 months;</li> <li>(4) Alderman Pauline Purnell is approached to take up a position as a co-opted representative onto the Ambitious Plymouth Panel for the next 12 months;</li> <li>(5) the UK Youth Parliament are approached to take up two separate positions as co-opted representatives onto the Ambitious Plymouth panel for the next 12 months.</li> </ol>	
01.07.2013 Minute 7	<p><b>WORK PROGRAMME</b></p> <p>The panel discussed the draft work programme 2013 – 2014 and <u>agreed</u> to recommend to the Cooperative Scrutiny Board the following items for inclusion:</p>	

<b>Date/min number</b>	<b>Resolution / Recommendation</b>	<b>Response</b>
	<p>(1) a further Youth Services Review; to review the Youth Services in early 2014 following the implementation of services and new work initiatives after the completion of the Youth Service Restructure and results of the Youth Service’s Review Survey;</p> <p>(2) skills and apprenticeships review, with a particular focus on children with special educational needs; this review could develop into a co-operative review;</p> <p>(3) library and museum provision; to review the provision of and use of the city’s libraries and museums, particularly with a focus on future City of Culture bids;</p> <p>(4) Early Years Development review; to review the issues involved in nursery places and the allocation of school places;</p> <p>(5) Adult Education Service Review; to review the Plymouth Adult and Community Learning Service (PACLS);</p> <p>(6) City of Culture bids; to review the reasons why Plymouth was not selected as one of the final four cities in the City of Culture bid and learn for future bid submissions;</p> <p>(7) a review of service provision and support for children and young people with dyslexia;</p> <p>(8) a review of school transport provision; to review the school transport offer provided by Plymouth City Council with a focus on ensuring that there is a promotion of safer journeys to school;</p> <p>(9) Children’s Social Care review; to undertake a thorough review of the Children’s Social Care Service to establish the adequacy of service arrangements;</p> <p>(10) Missing Person’s Team; to receive a presentation from this new service to understand the remit and work of the team and to establish whether any further scrutiny work is required, with a particular focus on the partnership arrangements between the Youth Service, Police and Social Care Services.</p> <p>The panel also discussed potential ideas for cooperative reviews; these would be included on the panel’s work programme and submitted to the Cooperative Scrutiny Board for approval at the appropriate time.</p>	

<b>Date/min number</b>	<b>Resolution / Recommendation</b>	<b>Response</b>
	<p>The following suggestions were put forward as potential cooperative reviews –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="268 353 1257 499">(11) a review of the Children and Young People’s Plan, prior to the Plan being updated in 2014; this review would see an holistic approach taken to reviewing the plan but the panel’s main focus would be on early intervention and prevention and adoption and fostering;</li> <li data-bbox="268 566 1257 712">(12) Narrowing the Gap in schools; this review would encompass issues such as free school meals, the effects of welfare reforms on school children, child poverty and the ever increasing financial burden placed on parents for school proms.</li> </ul>	